

Inhibidores de CDK 4/6 Papel en la adyuvancia

Fernando Moreno Hospital Clínico San Carlos





Outline

- Natural history of ER+ HER2- EBC
- Risk factors of recurrence
- Strategies to improve outcome in high-risk EBC
 - Prolongation of ET
 - Incorporation of other drugs to Anthras-Taxane based CT (ie, capecitabine)
 - m-TOR inhibitors
 - CDK 4/6 inhibitors



Outline

- Natural history of ER+ HER2- EBC
- Risk factors of recurrence
- Strategies to improve outcome in high-risk EBC
 - Prolongation of ET
 - Incorporation of other drugs to Anthras-Taxane based CT (ie, capecitabine)
 - m-TOR inhibitors
 - CDK 4/6 inhibitors



20-Year Risks of Breast-Cancer Recurrence after Stopping Endocrine Therapy at 5 Years





Hongchao Pan, N Engl J Med 2017



Outline

- Natural history of ER+ HER2- EBC
- Risk factors of recurrence
- Strategies to improve outcome in high-risk EBC
 - Prolongation of ET
 - Incorporation of other drugs to Anthras-Taxane based CT (ie, capecitabine)
 - m-TOR inhibitors
 - CDK 4/6 inhibitors



20-Year Risks of Breast-Cancer Recurrence after Stopping Endocrine Therapy at 5 Years

The risk of distant recurrence was strongly correlated with the original nodal status



Hongchao Pan, N Engl J Med 2017



Event Free Survival (EFS) by Residual Cancer Burden (RCB) in HR + HER2 – Breast Cancer

THE LANCET Oncology

Residual cancer burden after neoadjuvant chemotherapy and long-term survival outcomes in breast cancer: a multicentre pooled analysis of 5161 patients

EFS by RCB in HR + HER2 – BC (n=1957)

JAMA Oncology | Original Investigation

Assessment of Residual Cancer Burden and Event-Free Survival in Neoadjuvant Treatment for High-risk Breast Cancer An Analysis of Data From the I-SPY2 Randomized Clinical Trial

EFS by RCB in HR + HER2 - BC



Yau C, Lancet Oncol 2021

W. Fraser Symmans. JAMA Oncol 2021



Pathologic prognostic factors in Early Breast Cancer



Viale G, J Clin Oncol 2008; Oshiro C, Breast Cancer 2020



Pathologic prognostic factors in Early Breast Cancer

Distant Recurrence by Ki-67 expression levels

Distant Recurrence by grade



Pagani O, J Clin Oncol 2020



MDAnderson Cancer Center

Clinical Stage Clinical Staging for Breast Cancer TNM Stage Select Clinical Stage Pathologic Stage Pathologic Staging for Breast Cancer

TNM Stage Select Pathologic Stage 📀

Estrogen Receptor Status

Select Estrogen Receptor Status ᅌ

Nuclear Grade

Select Nuclear Grade ᅌ

Combined Use of Clinical and Pathologic Staging Variables to Define Outcomes for Breast Cancer Patients Treated With Neoadjuvant Therapy

DFS based on CPS + EG score



Time After Diagnosis (years)

Jacqueline S. Jeruss, JCO 2008



Genomic Signatures

IDFS in patients with 1-3 LN and RS ≤ 25 (all patients) RxPONDER Trial



Kalinsky K, N Engl J Med 2021



Risk factors of recurrence

Definition of high risk is critical in the success of escalation clinical trials





Outline

- Natural history of ER+ HER2- EBC
- Risk factors of recurrence
- Strategies to improve outcome in high-risk ER+ EBC
 - Prolongation of ET
 - Incorporation of other drugs to Anthras-Taxane based CT (ie, capecitabine)
 - m-TOR inhibitors
 - CDK 4/6 inhibitors



iCDK 4/6 Adjuvant Trials





Palbociclib with adjuvant endocrine therapy in EBC (PALLAS)



Primary Endpoint: invasive Disease-Free Survival (iDFS)

Target HR: 0.75; 5,600 patients provides 85% power, with a 1-sided α =0.025 First IA (197 event) Second IA (313 event) Final A 469 event



PALLAS: patients characteristic

- The majority had higher stage disease and had received prior chemotherapy.
- 58.7% had high clinical risk disease, described as:
 - <u>></u>4 nodes involved (<u>></u>N2), or
 - 1-3 nodes with either T3/T4 and/or grade 3 disease.

| | Pr |
|--|----|
| | In |
| | |
| | |
| | Сс |
| | |

| Palbociclib + ET (N=2,883) | ET (N=2,877) |
|-------------------------------|---|
| 52 (25 – 90) | 52 (22 – 85) |
| | |
| 504 (17·5%) | 509 (17·7%) |
| 968 (33·6%) | 951 (33·1%) |
| 1402 (48·6%) | 1408 (48·9%) |
| | |
| 557 (19·3%) | 500 (17·4%) |
| 1603 (55·6%) | 1636 (56·9%) |
| 722 (25·0%) | 741 (25·8%) |
| | |
| 367 (12·7%) | 383 (13·3%) |
| 1427 (49·5%) | 1415 (49·2%) |
| 703 (24·4%) | 709 (24·6%) |
| 385 (13·4%) | 370 (12·9%) |
| | |
| 300 (10·4%) | 313 (10·9%) |
| 1622 (56·3%) | 1658 (57·6%) |
| <u>836 (29·0%)</u> | 767 (26.7%) |
| 2384 (82·7%) | 2370 (82·4%) |
| | |
| 1954 (67·8%) | 1918 (66·7%) |
| 923 (32·0%) | 949 (33·0%) |
| 532 (18·5%) | 604 (21.1%) |
| | Palbociclib+ ET (N=2,883) $52 (25 - 90)$ $504 (17.5\%)$ $968 (33.6\%)$ $1402 (48.6\%)$ $1402 (48.6\%)$ $557 (19.3\%)$ $1603 (55.6\%)$ $722 (25.0\%)$ $367 (12.7\%)$ $1427 (49.5\%)$ $703 (24.4\%)$ $385 (13.4\%)$ $300 (10.4\%)$ $1622 (56.3\%)$ $836 (29.0\%)$ $2384 (82.7\%)$ $1954 (67.8\%)$ $923 (32.0\%)$ $532 (18.5\%)$ |



PALLAS: safety and tolerability

| Adverse Events, incidence <u>></u> 15% | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|--|--|
| Adverse Event | Palboci | E | | | | | | |
| | All Grades | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | All Grades | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | | |
| Any adverse event | 2822 (99·4%) | 1897 (66·8%) | 159 (5·6%) | 2571 (88·6%) | 400 (13·8%) | 24 (0.8%) | | |
| Neutropenia | 2354 (82·9%) | 1620 (57·0%) | 122 (4·3%) | 139 (4·8%) | 11 (0·4%) | 0 | | |
| Leukopenia | 1550 (54·6%) | 843 (29·7%) | 14 (0.5%) | 213 (7·3%) | 3 (0·1%) | 0 | | |
| Fatigue | 1150 (40·5%) | 60 (2·1%) | 0 | 546 (18·8%) | 10 (0·3%) | 0 | | |
| Arthralgia | 992 (34·9%) | 30 (1·1%) | 0 | 1207 (41·6%) | 31 (1·1%) | 0 | | |
| Upper respiratory tract infection | 805 (28·3%) | 32 (1·1%) | 0 | 453 (15·6%) | 3 (0·1%) | 0 | | |
| Hot flush | 693 (24·4%) | 7 (0·2%) | 0 | 838 (28·9%) | 7 (0·2%) | 0 | | |
| Anaemia | 664 (23·4%) | 13 (0·5%) | 0 | 157 (5·4%) | 4 (0·1%) | 0 | | |
| Thrombocytopenia | 609 (21·4%) | 25 (0·9%) | 1 (0.0%) | 49 (1·7%) | 1 (0.0%) | 0 | | |
| Nausea | 543 (19·1%) | 8 (0·3%) | 0 | 240 (8·3%) | 4 (0·1%) | 0 | | |
| Alopecia | 496 (17·5%) | 0 | 0 | 144 (5·0%) | 0 | 0 | | |
| Diarrhoea | 4 <mark>68 (16·5%)</mark> | 21 (0.7%) | 0 | 145 (5.0%) | 5 (0·2%) | 0 | | |
| Headache | 435 (15·3%) | 7 (0·2%) | 0 | 322 (11·1%) | 7 (0·2%) | 0 | | |

42.2% had discontinue prematurely (due AE 64.2%)



PALLAS: Primary Endpoint iDFS



At a median follow-up of 23.7 months, no significant difference in either 3-year iDFS or DRFS was observed



PALLAS: Conclusions

 The addition of palbociclib to adjuvant ET did not prolong iDFS compared to ET alone in patients with stage II-III HR+/HER2-



Palbociclib and adjuvant ET for high-risk HR+/HER2- EBC after neoadjuvant Chemo (PENELOPE-B)



Target HR: 0.685; 85% power, with a 2-sided α=0.05 First IA (290 event) Second IA (194 event) Final level 0.0463



Penelope-B: Mainline characteristics

| Parameter | Category | Palbociclib (N=631) N (%*) | Placebo (N=619) N (%*) | Overall (N=1250) N (%*) |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Age | median (range) | 49 (22.76) | 48 (19.79) | 49 (19.79) |
| Age, years | ≤50 | 353 (55.9) | 348 (56.2) | 701 (56.1) |
| Histological lymph node status at | ypN 0-1 | 310 (49.1) | 310 (50.1) | 620 (49.6) |
| surgery | ypN 2-3 | 321 (50.9) | 309 (49.9) | 630 (50.4) |
| Ki-67%, central pathology | >15% | 161 (25.5) | 158 (25.5) | 319 (25.5) |
| CPS-EG score | 2 and ypN+ | 253 (40.1) | 255 (41.2) | 508 (40.6) |
| | ≥3 | 378 (59.9) | 364 (58.8) | 742 (59.4) |
| Tumor stage at surgery | урТО-1 | 238 (37.7) | 208 (33.7) | 446 (35.7) |
| | урТ2-3 | 368 (58.3) | 389 (62.9) | 757 (60.6) |
| | урТ4 | 25 (4.0) | 21 (3.4) | 46 (3.7) |
| Histological type | lobular | 58 (9.2) | 52 (8.5) | 110 (8.8) |
| Grading | G3 | 294 (46.7) | 297 (48.1) | 591 (47.4) |
| Ovarian ablation | | 108 (17.1) | 113 (18.3) | 221 (17.7) |
| Endocrine therapy Tamoxifen | overall | 314 (49.8) | 308 (49.8) | 622 (49.8) |

Penelope-B: Primary Endpoint iDFS





19.5% had discontinue prematurely



Penelope-B: Conclusion

 The addition of palbocicilib to adjuvant ET did not improve iDFS compared to ET alone in patients HR+, HER2- EBC at high-risk of relapse after NACT



Abemaciclib with adjuvant ET for HR+/HER2-Node+, high-risk EBC (monarchE)

HR+, HER2-, high risk early breast cancer

High risk defined as:

- ≥4 positive axillary lymph nodes (ALN)
 OR
- 1-3 ALN and at least 1 of the below:
 - o Tumor size ≥5 cm
 - Histologic grade 3
 - Centrally tested Ki67 ≥20%

Other criteria:

- Women or men
- Pre-/ postmenopausal
- With or without prior adjuvant/neoadjuvant chemotherapy
- No distant metastases



- Menopausal status
- Region

Abemaciclib (150mg twice daily for up to 2 years^b)
 + Standard of Care Endocrine Therapy (5 to 10 years as clinically indicated)

Standard of Care Endocrine Therapy^b (5 to 10 years as clinically indicated)

Endocrine therapy of physician's choice

Primary Objective: Invasive disease-free survival (STEEP criteria) **Key Secondary Objectives**: Distant relapse-free survival, Overall survival, Safety, Patient reported outcomes, and Pharmacokinetics

Target HR: 0.73; 85% power, with a 2-sided α=0.05; 390 events for primary analysis First IA (323 iDFS, positive study if p<0.264)

Johnston S. ESMO 2020



monarchE: Patients Characteristics

| | | Abemaciclib + ET N=2808, % | ET Alone N=2829, % |
|---------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Age | Median (range) | 51 (23-89) | 51 (22-86) |
| Age categories | <65 years | 84.4 | 85.4 |
| Gender | Female | 99.3 | 99.5 |
| Menopausal Status ¹ | Premenopausal | 43.5 | 43.5 |
| | Postmenopausal | 56.5 | 56.5 |
| Prior Chemotherapy ¹ | Neoadjuvant | 37.0 | 37.0 |
| | Adjuvant | 58.5 | 58.2 |
| | None | 4.5 | 4.7 |
| Baseline ECOG PS | 0 | 85.7 | 83.8 |
| Pathologic Tumor Size | <2 cm | 27.8 | 27.1 |
| | 2 - 5 cm | 48.9 | 50.2 |
| | ≥5 cm | 21.6 | 21.6 |
| Number of Positive | 1-3 | 39.8 | 40.4 |
| Lymph Nodes | ≥4 | 59.9 | 59.6 |
| Histological Grade | Grade 1 | 7.4 | 7.6 |
| | Grade 2 | 49.0 | 49.3 |
| | Grade 3 | 38.7 | 37.6 |
| Central Ki-67 | <20% | 33.9 | 34.4 |
| | ≥20% | 44.9 | 43.6 |
| | Unavailable | 21.1 | 21.8 |

Johnston S. ESMO 2020



monarch-E: Primary Endpoint iDFS



Two-year IDFS rates were 92.2% (abemaciclib + ET arm) and 88.7% (ET arm): 3.5 absolute difference

Johnston S, JCO 2020

SIMPOSIO DE REVISIONES EN CÁNCER "Tratamiento médico del cáncer en el año 2022" monarchE: Distant Relapse-Free Survival



Two-year DRFS rates were 93.6% (abemaciclib + ET arm) and 90.3% (ET arm): 3.3% absolute difference DRFS benefit consistent accros all prespecified subgroups

Johnston S, JCO 2020



monarchE: Treatment Emergent Adverse Events



Median duration of abemaciclib: 23.7 months

| Other events of interest, any grade | Abemaciclib + ET N = 2791, % | ET Alone N = 2800, % |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| VTE | 2.5 | 0.6 |
| PE | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| ILD | 3.2 | 1.3 |

Abbreviations: VTE = venous thromboembolic event; PE = pulmonary embolism; ILD = Interstitial lung disease

16% treatment discontinuation



monarchE: Conclusions

Abemaciclib + adjuvant ET demonstrated clinically meaningful improvement in IDFS & DRFS in HR+/HER2- eBC

An additional analysis was conducted in response to regulators, with a data cut-off date on April 1, 2021, at which point most patients had discontinued or completed the study treatment period.





30.4% reduction in the risk of developing an IDFS event. The absolute difference in IDFS rates between arms was 5.4% at 3 years.



DRFS Benefit Maintained with 27m Follow-up



31.3% reduction in the risk of developing a DRFS event. The absolute difference in DRFS rates between arms was 4.2% at 3 years.

SÍMPOSIO DE REVISIONES EN CÁNCER "Tratamiento médico del cáncer en el año 2022"

Consistent IDFS treatment benefit in prespecified subgroups

| D | Abema | iciclib + ET | ET | alone | Favors Fa Abemaciclib + ET ET | vors alone | |
|-------------------------|-------|--------------|-------|--------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | No. | Events | No. | Events | 1 | HR (95% CI) | Interaction <i>P</i> value |
| Overall | 2808 | 232 | 2829 | 333 | | 0.70 (0.59-0.82) | |
| Number of pos. lymph no | des | | | | | | 0.597 |
| 1-3 | 1118 | 75 | 1142 | 105 | | 0.72 (0.54-0.97) | |
| 4-9 | 1107 | 75 | 1126 | 126 | | 0.61 (0.46-0.81) | |
| 10 or more | 575 | 80 | 554 | 102 | · · ⊢ | 0.74 (0.55-0.99) | |
| Histologic grade | | | | | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | 0.787 |
| Grade 1 | 209 | 11 | 216 | 12 | | 0.94 (0.42-2.13) | |
| Grade 2 | 1377 | 101 | 1395 | 146 | | 0.70 (0.54-0.90) | |
| Grade 3 | 1086 | 112 | 1064 | 151 | | 0.72 (0.57-0.92) | |
| Primary tumor size | | | 1001 | | I • I | 0= (0.0 | 0.024 |
| <2 cm | 781 | 40 | 767 | 86 L | | 0 45 (0 31-0 66) | 0.02 |
| 2-5 cm | 1371 | 125 | 1/10 | 155 | | 0.84 (0.66-1.06) | |
| >5 cm | 607 | 62 | 610 | 97 | | 0.04 (0.00-1.00) | |
| | 007 | 02 | 010 | 07 | | 0.70 (0.51-0.97) | 0.000 |
| Prior chemotherapy | 1000 | 110 | 10.10 | 101 | | | 0.339 |
| Neoadjuvant | 1039 | 119 | 1048 | 184 | | 0.63 (0.50-0.80) | |
| Adjuvant | 1642 | 101 | 1647 | 135 | | 0.75 (0.58-0.97) | |
| Menopausal status | | | | | | | 0.082 |
| Premenopausal | 1221 | 85 | 1232 | 142 | | 0.58 (0.44-0.76) | |
| Postmenopausal | 1587 | 147 | 1597 | 191 | | 0.79 (0.64-0.98) | |
| Region | | | | | | | 0.938 |
| North America/Europe | 1470 | 111 | 1479 | 156 | | 0.72 (0.56-0.92) | |
| Asia | 574 | 41 | 582 | 60 | | 0.66 (0.45-0.99) | |
| Other | 764 | 80 | 768 | 117 | | 0.69 (0.52-0.92) | |
| Age | | | | | | | 0.391 |
| <65 vears | 2371 | 192 | 2416 | 285 | | 0.68 (0.56-0.81) | |
| ≥65 vears | 437 | 40 | 413 | 48 | | 0.83 (0.54-1.26) | |
| Progesterone receptor | | | | | | | 0.846 |
| Negative | 298 | 42 | 295 | 58 | | 0.71 (0.48-1.06) | |
| Positive | 2426 | 185 | 2456 | 270 | | 0.69 (0.57-0.83) | |
| Tumor stage | 2.20 | | 2100 | 2.0 | | | 0.422 |
| Stage IIA | 324 | 15 | 353 | 28 H | | 0.57 (0.30-1.07) | |
| Stage IIB | 392 | 31 | 387 | 32 | | | |
| Stage IIIA | 1020 | 73 | 1026 | 104 | | 0.00 (0.00 1.02) | |
| Stage IIIC | 950 | 100 | 063 | 156 | | 0.63 (0.49-0.82) | |
| Stage IIIC | 900 | 100 | 903 | 150 | | 0.03 (0.49-0.02) | |
| Baseline ECOG PS | | | | | | | 0.207 |
| 0 | 2405 | 193 | 2369 | 280 | | 0.67 (0.56-0.80) | |
| _1 | 401 | 39 | 455 | 52 | • • • | 0.90 (0.59-1.36) | |
| Race | | | | | | | 0.299 |
| White | 1947 | 166 | 1978 | 237 | | 0.71 (0.58-0.86) | |
| Asian | 675 | 47 | 669 | 75 | | 0.60 (0.42-0.86) | |
| All others | 146 | 17 | 140 | 16 | • | 1.12 (0.57-2.22) | |

Harbeck N, Ann Oncol 2021

0.5 1 2



monarchE Study Design



IDFS in ITT Ki-67-high and conort 1 KI-6/-high populations



IDFS Ki

67

≥20%

IDFS in Cohort 1 Ki-67 high versus Ki-67 low





Time (months)



OS Interim Analysis (ITT population, Ki67 ≥20% populations)

| | ПТ | | Ki-67 ≥ 20% (c | ohort 1 + 2) | FDA-Approved Population: Ki-67 \geq 20% (cohort 1) | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|-------|---|
| Efficacy Parameter | Abemaciclib + ET $(n = 2,808)$ | ET (n = 2,829) | Abemaciclib + ET $(n = 1,262)$ | ET (n = 1,236) | Abemaciclib + ET (n = 1,017) | ET (n = 986) | | |
| IDFS events, No. (%) | 232 (8) | 333 (12) | 118 (9) | 172 (14) | 104 (10) | 158 (16) | | |
| HR (95% CI) | 0.696 (0.588 | 0.696 (0.588 to 0.823) | | 0.663 (0.524 to 0.839) | | 0.626 (0.488 to 0.803) | | |
| Р | < .000 | < .0001 ^b | | < .0001 ^b .0006 ^b | | 5 ^b | .0002 |) |
| OS events, No. (%) | 96 (3) | 90 (3) | 48 (4) | 55 (4) | 42 (4) | 53 (5) | | |
| HR (95% CI) | 1.091 (0.818 to 1.455) | | 0.851 (0.577 to 1.255) | | 0.767 (0.511 to 1.152) | | | |
| | | Share - | | | | | | |

The immature OS analysis showed a nonsignificant HR > 1 showing a **potential detriment with abemaciclib plus ET in the ITT population.**

The point estimate numerically favors the abemaciclib plus ET arm (HR 0.767; 95% CI, 0.511 to 1.512) and **do not indicate a detrimental effect of treatment with adjuvant abemaciclib plus ET.**

Royce M, J Clin Oncol 2022





FDA approves abemaciclib with endocrine therapy for early breast cancer

On October 12,2021, the Food and Drug Administration approved abemaciclib (Verzenio,Eli Lilly and Company)with endocrine therapy (tamoxifen or an aromatase inhibitor) for adjuvant treatment of adult patients with hormone receptor (HR)-positive, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-negative, node-positive, early breast cancer at high risk of recurrence and a Ki-67 score \geq 20%, as determined by an FDA approved test. This is the first CDK 4/6 inhibitor approved for adjuvant treatment of breast cancer.

FDA also approved the Ki-67 IHC MIB-1 pharmDx (Dako Omnis) assay, submitted by Agilent, Inc., as a **companion diagnostic** for selecting patients for this indication.



monarchE: Conclusions

 Abemaciclib + adjuvant ET demonstrated clinically meaningful improvement in IDFS & DRFS in HR+/HER2eBC

•Benefit is maintaned beyond 2-year treatment period

•Safety was consistent with profile of abemaciclib

•Ki-67 index was prognostic



Why PALLAS and monarchE were different?

- Different Risk of Recurrence
- Different Discontinuation rate
- Different mechanism of action
 - Kinase inhibitory activity
 - Effect on cell-cycle
 - Schedule



Different Risks of Recurrence

| | PALLAS | MONARCH-E |
|------------|--------|-----------|
| T≥3 | 25% | 21.6% |
| ≥4 nodes | 37.8% | 59.9% |
| Grade 3 | 29% | 38.7% |
| Ki 67 ≥ 20 | N/A | 44.9% |
| | | |

58.7% had high clinical risk disease in PALLAS trial:

- <u>></u>4 nodes involved (<u>></u>N2) or
- 1-3 nodes with either T3-T4 and/or G3 disease

| | Palbociclib p therapy grou | lus endocrine up | Endocrine th alone group | nerapy | | Hazard ratio (95% CI) | P interaction |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| | Events n/N | 3-year invasive disease-free survival (95% CI) | Events n/N | 3-year invasive disease-free survival (95% CI) | | | |
| Stage | | | | | | | 0.99 |
| IIA | 21/504 | 94.7 (91.4–96.7) | 25/509 | 92·9 (88·9–95·5) | | 0.91 (0.51–1.63) | |
| IIB or III | 149/2370 | 85.6 (81.4-89.0) | 156/2359 | 86.6 (82.7-89.6) | | 0.92 (0.73–1.15) | |
| T stage | | | | | | | 0.60 |
| T0, T1, Tis, or TX | 26/557 | 92.8 (88.9–95.4) | 22/500 | 93·3 (89·3–95·8) | | 1.10 (0.62–1.94) | |
| T2 | 84/1603 | 90.0 (86.4–92.7) | 101/1636 | 89.0 (85.5-91.7) | | 0.84 (0.63–1.12) | |
| T3 or T4 | 60/722 | 79.8 (69.8–86.8) | 58/741 | 82.4 (73.6-88.5) | | 1.01 (0.70–1.44) | |
| N Stage | | | | | | | 0.50 |
| NO | 14/367 | 95.5 (92.3-97.4) | 23/383 | 89.4 (83.0–93.5) | | 0.65 (0.33–1.26) | |
| N1 | 53/1427 | 93.7 (91.4-95.5) | 53/1415 | 93·4 (90·2–95·6) | | 1.02 (0.70–1.50) | |
| N2 or N3 | 103/1088 | 75.0 (65.9–82.0) | 105/1079 | 79.3 (71.7-85.1) | | 0.89 (0.68–1.17) | |
| Histological grade | | | | | | | 0.56 |
| G1 or G2 | 86/1922 | 91.0 (87.9–93.3) | 100/1971 | 90.6 (87.5–93.0) | _ | 0.88 (0.66–1.18) | |
| G3 | 73/836 | 83.2 (76.2–88.4) | 71/767 | 82.8 (76.7–87.5) | | 0.89 (0.65–1.24) | |
| GX | 11/122 | 76.5 (42.5–92.0) | 9/139 | 89.6 (78.6–95.1) | | 1.43 (0.59–3.44) | |
| Adjuvant or neoadjuvant chemotherapy | | | | | | | 0.36 |
| No | 18/498 | 94.9 (91.4–97.0) | 27/507 | 91.7 (86.7–94.8) | _ | 0.71 (0.39–1.28) | |
| Yes | 152/2384 | 85.9 (81.7–89.1) | 154/2370 | 87.4 (84.0–90.1) | | 0.96 (0.77–1.20) | |
| Age group, years | | | | | | | 0.29 |
| ≤50 | 79/1309 | 85.9 (79.8–90.2) | 73/1304 | 88.6 (86.7–94.8) | | 1.06 (0.77–1.45) | |
| >50 | 91/1573 | 89.7 (86.5–92.2) | 154/1370 | 87.4 (84.0–90.1) | | 0.84 (0.64–1.11) | |
| Clinical risk | | | | | | | 0.87 |
| High | 131/1710 | 81.9 (76.1–86.4) | 136/1672 | 83.6 (78.7-87.7) | _ | 0.89 (0.70–1.13) | |
| Low | 29/1172 | 95.0 (92.8–96.5) | 45/1205 | 93·1 (89·8–95·3) | | 0.93 (0.61–1.43) | |
| All patients | 170/2883 | 88.2 (85.2–90.6) | 181/2877 | 88.5 (85.8–90.7) | | 0.93 (0.75–1.14) | |
| | | | | | 0.25 0.5 1.0 2.0 | 4.0 | |

Favours palbociclib plus endocrine therapy Favours endocrine

therapy



Different Discontinuation Rate

100 **PALLAS Trial** 42.2% treatment discontinuation 95 Percentage Event-Free **Monarch-E** 16% treatment discontinuation 90 85 Naive per protocol - Palbo + ET — Naive per protocol – ET ······ IPTW per protocol – ET ······ IPTW per protocol – Palbo + ET 80 12 18 24 36 6 30 Ω Time Since Random Assignment (months) No. at risk: Naive – Palbo + FT 2.237 2,193 2,130 1,614 1.040 472 134 2,606 Naive - ET 2,799 2,498 1,926 1,261 568 169 IPTW - Palbo + ET 2.237 2,195 1,045 474 2,132 1,619 136 IPTW - ET 2,798 2,603 2,494 1.918 1,252 563 166

iDFS in palbociclib + ET versus ET alone in adherent patients

Mayer EL, J Clin Oncol 2022; Harbeck N, Ann Oncol 2021



Different mechanisms of action

- Abemaciclib studies report high IC50s: 2 nM for CDK4 and 10 nM for CDK6.
- Continuous inhibition yields cell arrest
- Induction of senescence and apoptosis

Fragmentation of DNA ends and sencescene assesed using TUNEL staining



Gelbert LM, Investigational New Drugs 2014; Tate SC, Clinical Cancer Research 2014; Torres-Guzmán R, Oncotarget 2017



Different mechanisms of action

iCDKs + Fulvestrant in Endocrine Resistant ER+ HER2 – Breast Cancer

PALOMA 3: OS in patients without sensitivity to previous endocrine therapy



MONARCH 2: OS in patients with primary endocrine resistance





Unanswered questions about iCDKs in EBC

- Who needs adjuvant iCDK 4/6 therapy?
 - Better biomarkers apart from stage
- What is the long-term impact from the adjuvant use of iCDK4/6?
 - Abemaciclib prevents early recurrences (Endocrine resistant disease)
 - Effect in late recurrences (endocrine sensitive) and OS is unknown
 - Longer follow-up is needed
- What is the optimal duration of adjuvant iCDKs?
- Is there any benefit in intermediate risk tumors?
- Role of iCDKs in neoadjuvant setting
- Compliance and safety in real world practice